

IBOS/1050013

PA 1252759

REC'D 14 JAN 2005

WIPO

PCT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

November 26, 2004

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM
THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT
APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A
FILING DATE UNDER 35 USC 111.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/535,722

FILING DATE: January 08, 2004

PRIORITY
DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

By Authority of the
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS



P. SWAIN
Certifying Officer



14023 U.S. PTO

Attorney Docket No. US04 0019P

22154 U.S. PTO
60/535722

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

Express Mail Label No.:	EV417136618US
-------------------------	---------------

1. INVENTOR(S)		
Given Name <i>(first and middle [if any])</i>	Family Name or Surname	Residence <i>(City and either State or Foreign Country)</i>
ERWIN	BELLERS	FREMONT, CALIFORNIA USA
2. TITLE OF THE INVENTION		
DISTRIBUTION CANDIDATE MOTION VECTORS BASED ON LOCAL MOTION COMPLEXITY		
3. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS		
Philips Electronics North American Corporation Intellectual Property & Standards 1109 McKay Drive, M/S-41SJ San Jose, California 95131 Phone: (408) 474-9073 Fax: (408) 474-9082		24738 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE CUSTOMER NUMBER
4. ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification (11 pages) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) (3 sheet(s)) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return-Receipt Postcard		
5. METHOD OF PAYMENT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge filing fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 14-1270. Filing Fee Amount: \$160		

Date 1-8-2004

Respectfully submitted,

By Kevin Simons
Kevin Simons, Reg. No. 45,110
(408) 474-9075

DISTRIBUTING CANDIDATE VECTORS BASED
ON LOCAL MOTION COMPLEXITY

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention generally relates to video processing applications, and more particularly, to systems and methods for distributing candidate vectors based on local motion complexity.

5 DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART

[0002] For high quality video processing applications, motion estimation is typically used to perform a wide variety of beneficial functionalities, such as scan rate conversion, noise reduction, content encoding, and compression. In this regard, various motion estimation techniques have been developed to compensate for different characteristics of the 10 video signal, different types of motion, and different objectives of the particular video processing application.

[0003] These motion estimation techniques typically estimate motion for a block of pixels by evaluating a limited set of so-called candidate motion vectors. For each block of pixels, the motion estimation technique selects candidate vectors from a 3-D neighborhood 15 by selecting from among the detected motion vectors that are offset in the spatial and/or temporal domains. For example, candidate vectors may include one or more spatially offset motion vectors within the same frame and one or more temporally offset motion vectors within a previous or subsequent frame. Additional "special" candidate vectors can also be used to take into account updated motion vectors or to compensate for zero motion, such as 20 static text. In any event, the number of candidate vectors that are evaluated per block of pixels are typically fixed and predefined by the particular motion estimation technique. Every region, whether easy or difficult, is evaluated using the same number of candidate vectors.

[0004] Although fixing the number of candidate vectors simplifies the hardware and 25 software implementation, these approaches can produce sub-optimal results. For example, in order to efficiently utilized limited computational resources and memory bandwidth, motion estimation should ideally adapt to the complexity of scene and the local content such that more emphasis is placed on picture regions that are difficult to estimate, while less

emphasis is placed on regions that are easy to estimate (e.g. backgrounds with uniform motion). It has also been shown that evaluating many candidate vectors in picture regions with uniform (converged) motion can introduce annoying distortion into the video signal, and therefore do more harm than good. By using a fixed number of predefined candidate vectors, existing motion estimation techniques can produce an inefficient or undesirable allocation of limited resources.

[0005] Therefore, in light of the foregoing problems, there is a need for systems and methods that efficiently distribute candidate motion vectors based on local motion complexity. These systems and methods would preferably be used to improve the allocation of limited resources in video processing applications that employ a motion estimation technique.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0006] Embodiments of the present invention alleviate many of the foregoing problems by providing systems and method for distributing candidate vectors based on local motion complexity. In one embodiment, a picture frame is divided into a plurality of segments, where each segment includes a plurality of pixel blocks. The local motion complexity of each segment may then be measured by, for example, determining a Sum-of-Absolute Differences (SAD) between pixel blocks of the frame and pixel blocks of an adjacent frame and summing the SAD values for the pixel blocks within each segment. The number of candidate vectors assigned to each block may then be determined based on the measured local motion complexity.

[0007] Other embodiments provide mechanisms for assigning the number of candidate vectors to each pixel block. In one embodiment, for example, a distribution function for distributing candidate vectors may be determined using a maximum, minimum and average SAD value for the segments within the picture frame. The distribution function may also use predetermined values for the maximum, minimum and average number of candidate vectors per block such that the distribution function achieves a desired distribution of candidate vectors. In this way, the distribution function will distribute a higher number of candidate vectors to pixel blocks within segments having a higher SAD value (high motion complexity) and a lower number of candidate vectors to pixel blocks within segments having a lower SAD value (low motion complexity). This non-uniform distribution of the

number of candidate vectors can ensure that resources are re-directed to portions of the picture where such resources are needed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

[0008] These and other features and advantages of the present invention will become 5 more apparent to those skilled in the art from the following detailed description in conjunction with the appended drawings in which:

[0009] Figure 1 illustrates an exemplary picture frame in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

[0010] Figure 2 illustrates an exemplary method in flowchart form for distributing 10 candidate vectors in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention; and

[0011] Figure 3 illustrates an exemplary platform that may be used in accordance with embodiments of the present invention.

DETAILED EMBODIMENTS

[0012] Embodiments of the present invention provide systems and methods for 15 distributing candidate motion vectors based on local motion complexity. The following description is presented to enable a person skilled in the art to make and use the invention. Descriptions of specific applications are provided only as examples. Various modifications, substitutions and variations of the preferred embodiment will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other 20 embodiments and applications without departing from the scope of the invention. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the described and illustrated embodiments, and should be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features disclosed herein.

[0013] Embodiments of the present invention may be used to efficiently allocate limited 25 computational resources to different portions of the picture frame without sacrificing motion estimation quality. In one embodiment, each picture frame is divided into a plurality of segments, where each segment includes a plurality of pixel blocks. The number of candidate vectors evaluated for pixel blocks within each segment may then be determined based on an estimation of the relative motion complexity of each corresponding

segment. As such, the pixel blocks within the same segment are allocated the same number of candidate vectors, but pixel blocks within different segments could be allocated a different number of candidate vectors depending on the relative motion complexity of the different segments. This approach creates a non-uniform distribution of candidate motion vectors (and associated computational resources used in their evaluation) for different groups of pixel blocks based on the relative motion complexity within each group.

[0014] In order to estimate the relative motion complexity of each segment, the Sum-of-Absolute-Differences (SAD) between pixels of a frame and corresponding pixels of an adjacent frame may be calculated, where the corresponding pixels of the adjacent frame may be spatially aligned or spatially offset in accordance with a candidate motion vector. Because the SAD value in this case calculates the sum of the absolute value of differences of pixels between blocks of adjacent frames, the SAD value of each segment provides the mismatch error of the blocks within the segment, and therefore, can be used as an indirect measure of the motion complexity of each segment. If a segment has a high SAD value, then the segment likely has high motion complexity, and therefore the pixel blocks within the segment may benefit from a greater number of candidate motion vectors. If a segment has a low SAD value, then the segment likely has low motion complexity, and therefore, it may be more efficient to allocate a lower number of candidate vectors to the pixel blocks within that segment. By distributing a higher or lower number of candidate vectors based on the relative motion complexity of each segment, limited computational resources can be non-uniformly distributed over different portions of the picture based on relative need.

[0015] Referring to Figure 1, an exemplary picture frame in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention is illustrated generally at 100. As illustrated, the exemplary frame 110 is divided into twenty-four picture segments 120, where each segment 120 includes sixteen 8x8 pixel blocks 130. For purposes of clarity, the pixel blocks 130 are only illustrated for the first segment 120. It is understood that the exemplary frame of Figure 1 is provided for illustration purposes only and that other subdivisions of the picture frame are contemplated and embraced by the present invention.

[0016] In order to estimate the motion complexity for each segment 120, a SAD value is calculated between pixels blocks 130 of one frame 110a and corresponding pixel blocks 130 of an adjacent frame 110b. In this context, it is understood that the corresponding pixel

blocks 130 of the adjacent frame 110b could be spatially aligned with the pixel blocks 130 of the current frame 110a. Alternatively, the corresponding pixel blocks 130 of the adjacent frame 110b could be spatially offset with respect to pixel blocks 130 in the current frame 110a based on an estimated motion vector that is determined using a limited set of candidate motion vectors. Accordingly, for embodiments of the present invention, the corresponding pixel blocks 130 of adjacent frames 110b could be spatially aligned or spatially offset with respect to the pixel blocks of the current frame 110a.

[0017] Since each segment 120 includes a plurality of pixel blocks 130, the SAD values for each target segment 120 can be determined by summing the SAD values for the individual pixel blocks 130 within the target segment 120. As such, the SAD value for each segment 120 provides an estimate of mismatch error between the pixel blocks 130 within each segment 120, and therefore, an estimate of the local motion complexity of each segment 120.

[0018] Once the SAD value for each segment 120 has been determined, a distribution function can be used to determine the number of candidate vectors to be evaluated for pixel blocks 130 within each segment 120 to produce a desired distribution of candidate vectors. For example, suppose that there are sufficient resources to evaluate on average N_{av} number of candidates motion vectors for all the blocks 130 within a frame 110. Further suppose that it is desired to limit the number of candidate vectors to be evaluated to N_{max} and N_{min} for the maximum and minimum number of candidates per block 130, respectively. A distribution function for candidate vectors may then be determined by applying the following rules: (1) evaluate N_{min} number of candidate vectors for pixel blocks 130 within segments that have the lowest match error (lowest SAD); (2) evaluate N_{max} number of candidate vectors for pixel blocks 130 within segments that have the highest match-error (highest SAD); and (3) evaluate on average N_{av} number of candidate vectors per block for the blocks 130 within segments that have the average match-error. Based on these rules, the following exemplary equations would apply:

$$N_{min} = a + b*SAD_{min} + c*SAD_{min}*SAD_{min} \quad (1)$$

$$N_{max} = a + b*SAD_{max} + c*SAD_{max}*SAD_{max} \quad (2)$$

$$N_{av} = a + b*SAD_{av} + c*SAD_{av}*SAD_{av} \quad (3)$$

[0019] In equations (1) – (3), SAD_max, SAD_min and SAD_av correspond to the maximum, minimum and average SAD values, respectively, of all segments within the targeted frame. Furthermore, N_max, N_min and N_av can be predetermined values for the maximum, minimum and average number of candidate vectors, respectively, that produce a desired distribution of candidate vectors among the pixel blocks. In a preferred embodiment, N_max is 9; N_min is 4; and N_av is 6.

[0020] If equations (1) – (3) above are solved simultaneously to determine the values of a , b and c , the following equation can be used to determine the number of candidate vectors to be evaluated within each segment based on the segment's corresponding SAD value:

$$N_{cand_i} = a + b \cdot SAD_i + c \cdot SAD_i \cdot SAD_i \quad (4)$$

, where N_{cand_i} is the number of candidate vector to be evaluated for pixel blocks within the i -th segment, and SAD_i is the SAD value for the i -th segment. By using equation (4) to determine the number of candidate vectors, the available number of motion vectors to evaluate can be distributed over the various pixel blocks according to the local motion complexity of each segment, i.e. the resources are spent at the locations where they are needed. The distribution function of equation (4) also allocates the number of candidate vectors such that distribution of candidate vectors conforms with the desired distribution (e.g., if $SAD_i = SAD_{max}$, then $N_{cand_i} = N_{max}$; if $SAD_i = SAD_{min}$, then $N_{cand_i} = N_{min}$; and so forth).

[0021] In comparison to schemes that use a fixed number of motion candidates per pixel block, the presented scheme can help to: (1) provide an improved motion estimation quality with the same total number of candidate vectors, and/or (2) provide a similar motion estimation quality with a fewer number of candidate vectors. For those skilled in the art, it is obvious that other sets of equations can be used and a different expression can be resolved to arrive at a (different) distribution function. The presented set of distribution equations is just an example. Moreover, different or multiple measurements of local motion complexity can be taken into account. For example, instead of using SAD values, embodiments of the present invention could use another estimate of mismatch error, such as Mean-Squared Error (MSE), without departing from the principles of the present invention.

30. [0022] Referring to Figure 2, an exemplary method in flowchart form for distributing candidate vectors in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention is illustrated

generally at 200. As illustrated, the exemplary method measures the local motion complexity at step 210 by, for example, measuring SAD or MSE values for a plurality of pixel blocks and summing the SAD or MSE values for a group of pixel blocks. A distribution function for determining the number of candidate vectors assigned to each pixel block is then determined at step 220. This process may involve developing a set of distribution equations, such as equations (1) – (4) above, that define a desired distribution of candidate vectors based on the measured local motion complexity. These equations may include one or more anchor values, such a maximum, minimum or average value for the measured motion complexity.

10 [0023] At step 230, the number of candidate vectors to be evaluated for each pixel block is determined using, for example, the distribution function of equation (4) and the measured local complexity of the pixel blocks. Motion estimation for the applicable video frame may then be performed at step 240 using the number of candidate vectors assigned to each pixel block. It should be noted that the motion estimation technique should take into account the 15 fact that a different number of candidate vectors may be assigned to different pixel blocks. For example, if the candidate vectors that could be evaluated include one or more spatial vectors, one or more temporal vectors, an update (modified motion) vector, a global motion vector, and a zero motion vector, the motion estimation technique may assign a priority order to each type of candidate vector. If the number of candidate vectors assigned to a 20 pixel block is insufficient to evaluate all the possible candidate vectors, the motion estimation technique can then select from among the types of candidate vectors based on the vectors' relative priority. In this way, the most relevant motion vectors would be evaluated for pixel blocks that are assigned a relatively small number of candidate vectors. Furthermore, if the number of candidate vectors are selected such that a smaller number of 25 candidate vectors are assigned to pixel blocks with relatively simple motion complexity, this limited set of motion vectors may be sufficient to compensate for the motion involved without sacrificing motion estimation quality.

[0024] Referring to Figure 3, an exemplary platform that may be used in accordance with embodiments of the present invention is illustrated generally at 300. As illustrated, the 30 exemplary platform includes a microprocessor 310 operably coupled to a memory system 320 via a system bus 340. The memory system may comprise a random access memory, a hard drive, floppy drive, a compact disk, or other computer readable medium, that stores

computer instructions for a motion estimation module 350 and video processing application 150. The exemplary system also includes an I/O interface 330 that is coupled to the microprocessor 310 and the memory system 320 in order to enable the system to input and output video signals.

5 [0025] In operation, the video processing application 360 uses the motion estimation module 350 to process video signals received from the I/O interface 330 and to output the processed video signal to the I/O interface 330. If the motion estimation module 350 is implemented in accordance with the principles described above, the exemplary system may be configured to efficiently utilize the limited processing resources of the microprocessor
10 310 and the limited memory bandwidth of the memory system 350 without sacrificing motion estimation quality. Furthermore, if the motion estimation module 350 and/or video processing application 360 are implemented in hardware or combinations of hardware and software (instead of the software only implementation illustrated in Figure 3), other embodiments of the exemplary system would provide the system designer flexibility in
15 designing the optimal configuration.

[0026] While the present invention has been described with reference to exemplary embodiments, it will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art that the invention is not limited to the disclosed and illustrated embodiments but, on the contrary, is intended to cover numerous other modifications, substitutions and variations and broad equivalent arrangements that are included within the scope of the following claims.
20

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for distributing candidate motion vectors, the method comprising:
 - dividing a picture frame (110) into a plurality of segments (120), each segment (120) comprising a plurality of pixel blocks (130);
 - measuring local motion complexity for each segment (120); and
 - assigning a number of candidate motion vectors to pixel blocks (130) within each segment (120) based on the measured local motion complexity.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of measuring comprises:
 - determining a sum-of-absolute differences between pixel blocks (130) of the picture frame (110a) and corresponding pixel blocks (130) of an adjacent frame (110b); and
 - summing the measured sum-of-absolute differences associated with of pixel blocks (130) within each segment (120).
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the step of assigning comprises using a distribution function configured to assign the number of candidate vectors based on the measured local motion complexity of each segment (120).
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the distribution function is based on a maximum, minimum and average of the measured sum-of-absolute differences of the segments.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the distribution fuction is further based on predetermined values for a maximum, minimum and average number of candidate vectors per block.
6. The method of claim 1, further comprising performing motion estimation on the pixel blocks (130) using the number of candidate vectors assigned to each pixel block (130).

7. A system for distributing candidate vectors, the system comprising:

means for dividing a picture frame (110) into a plurality of segments (120), each segment (120) comprising a plurality of pixel blocks (130);

means for measuring local motion complexity for each segment (120); and

means assigning a number of candidate motion vectors to pixel blocks (130) within each segment (120) based on the measured local motion complexity.

8. The system of claim 7, wherein the means for measuring comprises:

means for determining a sum-of-absolute differences between pixel blocks (130) of the picture frame (110a) and corresponding pixel blocks (130) of an adjacent frame (110b); and

means for summing the measured sum-of-absolute differences associated with of pixel blocks (130) within each segment (120).

9. The system of claim 8, wherein the means for assigning uses a distribution function configured to assign the number of candidate vectors based on the measured local motion complexity of each segment (12).

10. The system of claim 9, wherein the distribution function is based on a maximum, minimum and average of the measured sum-of-absolute differences of the segments..

11. The system of claim 10, wherein the distribution fuction is further based on predetermined values for a maximum, minimum and average number of candidate vectors per block.

12. The system of claim 7, further comprising means for performing motion estimation on the pixel blocks (130) using the number of candidate vectors assigned to each pixel block (130).

ABSTRACT

A system and method for distributing candidate motion vectors divides a picture frame (110) into a plurality of segments (120), where each segment (120) includes a plurality of pixel blocks (130). The local motion complexity of each segment may then be measured by determining a Sum-of-Absolute Differences (SAD) between pixel blocks (130) of the frame (110a) and pixel blocks (130) of an adjacent frame (110b) and summing the SAD values for the pixel blocks (130) within each segment (120). The maximum, minimum and average SAD value for the segments may be used to define a distribution function that distributes candidate vectors to conform with a desired maximum, minimum and average number of candidate vectors per block. This distribution function is then used to determine the number of candidate vectors to assign to pixel blocks (130) based on the measured SAD value of the associated segment (120).

Fig. 1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3